The Solar Wind throughout the Solar Activity Cycle

Marco Velli Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Dipartimento di Astronomia e Scienza dello Spazio, Università degli Studi. Firenze



Outline



- The solar wind throughout the activity cycle: geography
- Coronal heating and solar wind acceleration
- Source region and dynamics of the fast wind
- What is the role of turbulence and Alfvénic turbulence in particular?
- Fast solar wind: fine structures: microstreams; polar plumes?
- Slow solar wind origins
- Solar Probe/Solar Orbiter

The Solar Wind at ULYSSES





Evolution to the new solar minimum: correlation of wind and magnetic field structure

Halkidiki

Smoothed



ULYSSES over 3 cycles



v _n (km/s)	761	739	3%
v _a (km/s)	772	746	3%
$n_p R^2$ (cm ³)	2.65	2.19	17%
$n_{\alpha}^{\prime}R^{2}$ (cm3)	0.12	0.10	17%
T _p R 105 (K)	2.66	2.30	14%
T _a R 106 (K)	1.12	1.00	11%
Mass Flux (kg/m ² s)			
ρ VR²*10 ¹⁵	3.96	3.17	20%
Dynamic Pressure			
(nPa) ρV ² R ²	3.01	2.34	22%
Proton Thermal			
Pressure (pPa)			
n _p kT _p R ³	9.89	7.43	25%
Alpha Particle			
Thermal Pressure (pPa)			
n _a kT _a R ³	1.80	1.39	23%
McComas et al. 08)			

Halkidiki

20

 - 1st Orbit, Slow S Pass (048/92 - 257/94)
- 3rd Orbit, Slow S Pass (053/04 - 036/07)
- 1st Orbit, Fast S Scan (257/94 - 063/95)
- 3rd Orbit, Fast S Scan (040/07 - 221/07)
- 1st Orbit, Fast N Scan (063/95 - 211/95)
- 3rd Orbit, Fast N Scan (221/07 - 013/08)
- 1st Orbit, Slow N Pass (211/95 - 349/97)
- 3rd Orbit, Slow N Pass (013/08 - 060/08) 850 Proton Speed [km s^{·1}] 800 750 700 650 3.5 $N_p(R/R_o)^2$ [cm⁻³] 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 F (° 2.5x10 (° 2.5x10) ⊢ 2.0x10 0.055 0.050 Ž 0.045 Z 0.040 0.040 0.035 Dynamic Pressure (R/R_o)² [nPa] 4.0 3.5 3 2 2. 1.5

60

Heliolatitude [Deg]

70

80

50



Coronal fine structure and its evolution into the solar wind





(a) White light eclipse 2007 March 29 corona made by a 1600 mm telescope in Libya and SOHO EIT He II (30.4 nm). The resolution of the image is 1-2" and its effective wavelength within 400 - 650 nm. (b) Edge-enhanced Druckmüller-Aniol eclipse picture Lybia, 2006, cropped at r 1/4 2:2 Rs joined to a LASCO C2 image recorded at 10:46 UT. An unsharp mask has been applied to the LASCO white-light image by subtracting from it a smoothed version of itself. Image rotated about 300 cc compared to (a), From Pasachoff et al. (2007) andWang et al. (2007).

Halkidiki



Solar Wind Distribution in Ecliptic





ACE data show that:

10s % each:

- ICME
- Coronal Hole
- Streamer Belt

(Zurbuchen et al. 06)

Halkidiki

June 18 2009

Solar Wind Acceleration Properties

Antonucci, SSR 2006

IPS VELOCITY vs ACCELERATION MODEL

Halkidiki

June 18

Solar Wind Speed and Helium Abundance

Average values of AHe over 250 day intervals and the smoothed sunspot number over the duration of the Wind mission. The legend lists the lower end of each speed window and the correlation coefficient between the averages and the smoothed sunspot number. The relative abundance of helium in the slow solar wind is strongly correlated with solar activity with a peak correlation of 0.94 for speeds between 360 and 380 km/s.

Kasper et al. '07 Heliospheric Helium Abundance

Halkidiki

June 18

Solar wind proton distribution functions

2009

(Marsch et al. 1982)

June 18

Spectrum of SW turbulence: Alfvén Waves and Alfvénic Turbulence

First recognized in solar wind fast streams by Belcher and Davis (1971) (10 -4 Hz < w < 10 -2 Hz) Transverse waves that propagate at the Alfvén speed

$$z^{\pm} = u \mp sign(B_r)b/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$$

Halkidiki

June 18

2009

Turbulence in the inner heliosphere

Spectra of outward and inward waves, from Helios

Grappin et al. 1990

Between 0.3 and 1AU

Middle panel, wind speed.

Turbulence changes according to wind speed: high speed alfvenic, low speed standard.....where does this difference arise? What is the dynamical significance?

Halkidiki

Turbulence (Helios results)

Halkidiki

June 18 2009

Evidence for the anisotropy of the Solar Wind Turbulence Cascade

FIG. 1. Magnetic power spectra at two different angle ranges of the local magnetic field to the flow: $0^{\circ}-10^{\circ}$ (circles) and $80^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ (diamonds). Note the reduced power levels and steeper slope associated with the smaller angle. Guide lines with slopes of 5/3 and 2 are shown above and below the data. Spectral indices in Fig. 2 are calculated over the scales between the dotted vertical lines.

FIG. 2. Top: Trace of power in the magnetic field as a function of the angle between the local magnetic field and the sampling direction at a spacecraft frequency of 61 mHz. The larger scatter for $\theta_B > 90^\circ$ is the result of fewer data points at these angles. Bottom: Spectral index of the trace, fitted over spacecraft frequencies from 15–98 mHz.

Horbury et al. 08, (also Podesta '09)

Turbulent heating of the solar wind

Observed scaling collapse onto the Yaglom law appears very robust in many periods of about 10 days.

(Low frequency) solar wind can be described in the framework of MHD turbulence

Taylor's hypothesis to transform length scales in time scales

$$\left\langle \Delta Z_r^{\mp} \left| \Delta Z_i^{\pm} \right|^2 \right\rangle = \frac{4}{3} V_{rms} \varepsilon^{\pm} \tau$$

L. Sorriso-Valvo et al., (2007)

Halkidiki

Plasma instabilities driven by proton temperature anisotropy

Halkidiki

June 18 2009

Energy flux balance

Halkidiki

June 18 2009

Source Mechanical flux (Coronal Heating+Pressure) Conductive flux Radiative flux Solar Wind Flux:

$$F_0 = F_m + F_q + F_{rad} + F_{sw}$$

Source regions solar wind Marsch, Tu et al Science 2005

Halkidiki

June 18

Photospheric motions produce field line tangling and emerging flux resulting in a Poynting flux crossing the photosphere:

$$\mathbf{\check{S}} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \mathbf{\check{E}} \times \mathbf{\check{B}} \qquad \mathbf{\check{E}} = -\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{\check{V}}_{ph} \times \mathbf{\check{B}}$$

$$\vec{S} \cdot \vec{n}_{ph} = \frac{B_{\perp}^2}{4\pi} \vec{V}_{ph} \cdot \vec{n}_{ph} - \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \vec{n}_{ph}}{4\pi} \vec{V}_{ph\perp} \cdot \vec{B}$$

2009

June 18

Emerging Flux Waves and Turbulence

Fisk (2005)

Halkidiki

SW acceleration: Energy Balance

ASI/ESS Firenze

Halkidiki 2009

A very basic result (leads to "scaling laws" Fisk et al '99 Fisk '03): $\rho v r^{2} f_{tot} \frac{v^{2}}{2} \Big|_{r=1 \text{ AU}} = \left\{ r^{2} \left[-\frac{B_{r} \langle \delta B_{\perp} \delta v_{\perp} \rangle}{4\pi} + \rho v \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} RT_{c} - \frac{GM_{\odot}}{r} \right) \right] \right\}_{r=1 R_{\odot}}.$ (3)

Schwadron & McComas '03, '08

June 18

$$\frac{mu_f^2}{2} \approx m\bar{v}_{A0}^2 - \left(C_0 \frac{\kappa_0 T_{\max}^{7/2}}{f_0 L} - C_1 k T_{\max}\right) - \frac{GM_{\odot}m}{R_{\odot}}$$

2009

Evolution of waves in turbulence from coronal holes into the fast wind

Can a turbulence theory work in coronal holes to heat and drive the solar wind? Incompressible: invoke REFLECTION of ALFVEN WAVES (Velli et al. 1989, Matthaeus et al 2000, Verdini et al. '05, Cranmer et al. '05) Compressible: +

Nonlinear Steepening

- Generation of waves by foot point motion
- Reflection of the waves due to variation of Va
- Turbulent cascade, plasma heating and wind acceleration in perpendicular planes

Halkidiki

Evolution of waves in turbulence from coronal holes into the fast wind

perpendicular planes (Verdini & Velli, 2007, 2009).

Halkidiki

June 18

2009

June 18

Evolution of waves in turbulence from coronal holes into the fast wind

Suzuki et al '05 - '07

Comparison of fast and slow winds obtained with circularly polarized Alfvén wave forcing.

Alfvén waves drive and reflect off density gradients, parametric decay et.c. generate compressive motions which shock and heat. So Alfvén waves push and shock waves push and heat.

Halkidiki

June 18

2009

Solar wind is basically traced back radially to the source surface (2.something solar radii) and then back

using a Potential Field Source Surface approximation.

One of the open questions is the precise location of open field regions.

Halkidiki

June 18

Slow and fast wind: connectivity

High-speed wind: strong connections to the largest coronal holes

Low-speed wind: still no agreement on the full range of coronal sources:

hole/streamer boundary (streamer "edge") streamer plasma sheet ("cusp/stalk") small coronal holes active regions

Halkidiki

June 18

The Slow Solar Wind

 $v_a = 298.3 \text{ km/s}, r_a = 8.1 \text{ R}$

 $r_1 = 2.8 R$

600

Time difference images showing flow of material in streamers Sheeley, et al., 1997

Corresponding velocity profiles

Halkidiki

June 18

2009

.

Global magnetic field connectivity

Cranmer & van Ballegooijen ('07,'05) models of the global properties of incompressible non-WKB Alfvenic turbulence along an open flux tube.

Lower boundary condition: observed horizontal motions of G-band bright points. Along the flux tube, wave/turbulence properties should be computed consistently.

Halkidiki

June 18

For a polar coronal hole flux-tube:

Basal acoustic flux: 10⁸ erg/cm²/s (equiv. "piston" v = 0.3 km/s)

Basal Alfvenic perpendicular amplitude: 0.4 km/s

Basal turbulent scale: 120 km (G-band bright point size)

Halkidiki

June 18 2009

The locations of the flows in the active regions with respect to the longitudinal photospheric magnetic fields suggest that these regions might be tracers of long loops and/or open magnetic fields that extend into the heliosphere, and thus the flows could possibly contribute significantly to the solar wind. (Doschek et al 08)

Origins of the slow wind

Snapshot of the coronal temperature structure for the base model, in which only protons are heated. (a, b) Color plots of the electron and proton temperature, respectively. Solid lines are for overlying magnetic field structure. (c, d) Electron (dashed line) and proton (solid line) temperatures vs. heliocentric distance along (c) the polar axis and (d) the equator.

Halkidiki

June 18 2009

Origins of the slow wind

Depending on heating partition, no stationary state is found

Halkidiki

June 18

2009

The solar wind texture at L1

Figure 1. A sketch of the flux tube texture of the solar-wind plasma. Each flux tube contains a different plasma and the flux tubes move independently. A depiction (left) looking at the sides of the tubes indicates that the tubes are tangled about the direction of the Parker spiral. An end view (right) depicts the cross sections of the network of tubes. The scale sizes of the flux tubes correspond to the scale sizes of granules on the solar surface. The median diameter of a flux tube at 1 AU is 5.5×10^5 km.

The Solar Wind at HELIOS: Pressure-balanced S

"It is now fairly well established that high-speed streams are partly composed of mesoscale flow tubes which are related to coronal fine structures and seem to reflect in their angular scales of 20-40 in Carrington longitude the sized of single or several supergranular cells of the chromospheric network on the Sun."* Thieme et al. 1989,, Schwenn and Marsch 1991

Fig. 10.2. (a) Velocities, densities, and temperatures of protons and α -particles from day 41 until day 46.5 in 1975, as measured by *Helios 1* between 0.6 and 0.66 AU. This fast stream shows significant related variations of the plasma parameters. The boundaries of the mesoscale flow tubes are marked by *dotted vertical lines*. (b) The plasma line-up around day 69.5 in 1976. The data of *Helios 2 (dotted curves)* have been projected on the orbit of *Helios 1* by taking radial gradients into account. Radially invariant spatial structures are clearly revealed [10.173, 174]

Halkidiki

June 18

The Fast Solar Wind at ULYSSES: switchbacks

• The fast solar wind carries magnetic field lines with a polarity which corresponds to the dominant polarity in the photosphere

• Observations show a number of cases where the magnetic field is reversed.

Formation of switchbacks: a way to see plumes?

Two similar shear layers, one with a magnetic field line crossing from the right, the other with the magnetic field line crossing from the left.

The field line on the right is folded (2a-> 2b).

If the initial distribution of (positive) B_r at the photosphere is as shown below in (a), then an interaction with shear layers will produce a distribution at the top of the corona like that shown in (b).

The Radial Magnetic Field, Br, in Fast Wind From Large Coronal Holes

Landi et al. 07, Suess et al. '09

Halkidiki

June 18

2009

We are beginning to have predictive models of solar wind conditions, but the precise mechanisms of transfer of momentum and energy in all but the simplest open field configurations remain largely unknown.

On the one hand, we need to understand the inner boundary condition (photosphere/chromosphere/transition region in its 3 dimensional time-dependent nature) Hinode, SDO, Solar Orbiter

On the other, we need to understand the energy conversion channels inside the corona. Solar Probe.

Finally, we need to observe the magnetic field and flows at all latitudes on the sun.

FUTURE

• Understand fully compressible MHD, and inclusion of emerging flux.

 Improved understanding of the reconnection process: kinetic, particle acceleration, convergence of kinetic and fluid solar wind models

New Missions with synergistic REMOTE SENSING and IN SITU OBSERVATIONS INSIDE ALFVEN POINT will solve the heating and acceleration problem

Solar Orbiter & Solar Probe

To investigate solar atmospheric coupling: remote sensing. Solar Dynamics Observatory and Solar Orbiter

To investigate the coronal heating and the acceleration of the solar wind: Solar Probe (+)

Halkidiki

June 18