Statistical Approaches of Small-scale Coronal Heating

Eric Buchlin IAS and Osservatorio d'Arcetri eric.buchlin@ias.fr

with Sebastien Galtier, Marco Velli, Jean-Claude Vial and Philippe Lemaire



Why are we doing statistics?

Why are they useful :

- small-scales too small to be observable
- too wide range of length and time scales
- can be linked to turbulence theories



Why are we doing statistics ?

Why are they useful :

- small-scales too small to be observable
- too wide range of length and time scales
- can be linked to turbulence theories

What are our goals :

- find new signatures of heating in turbulence-generated small-scale structures
- better understanding of coronal heating
- numerical and observational tests of theories of MHD turbulence and intermittency



Statistical approach

- Observe and simulate coronal heating "events" (intermittent brightenings...)
- Get statistics (histograms, structure functions...)
- Compare observations and simulations, and compare to statistical signatures predicted by theories (turbulence, intermittency)







Difficulties of the statistical approach

- Define and detect events :
 - separate (temporally and spatially)
 - signal/noise



Difficulties of the statistical approach

- Define and detect events :
 - separate (temporally and spatially)
 - signal/noise
- Get significant statistics :
 - Iots of observations
 - lots of simulations (fast \implies simplified MHD)
 - statistics other than histograms are harder to get



Difficulties of the statistical approach

- Define and detect events :
 - separate (temporally and spatially)
 - signal/noise
- Get significant statistics :
 - Iots of observations
 - lots of simulations (fast \implies simplified MHD)
 - statistics other than histograms are harder to get
- And...
 - A signature is a clue, not a proof

("power-law spectra" of most landscape photographies)



•

A cellular automata model



Why cellular automata?

Principle : system evolution by local interactions between a quite small number of "cells". A lot of models for the solar corona exist, from Lu & Hamilton (1991) to Islisker et al. (2000).





Why cellular automata?

Principle : system evolution by local interactions between a quite small number of "cells". A lot of models for the solar corona exist, from Lu & Hamilton (1991) to Islisker et al. (2000).



Fast, well-adapted to statistical analysis...



Why cellular automata?

Principle : system evolution by local interactions between a quite small number of "cells". A lot of models for the solar corona exist, from Lu & Hamilton (1991) to Islisker et al. (2000).



Fast, well-adapted to statistical analysis...

...but the rules of evolution often lack of physical bases.









3D 64² × 30, loop geometry and dominant B₀
Alfvén waves reflecting on the photosphere
"Photospheric" loading in k^{-α} (Roudier et al. 1986)

Dissipation by avalanches (threshold : electric current)



The model (2)

RMHD-based with non-linear terms modeled through on-off mechanism (giving SOC-like avalanches) \implies Very fast (suitable for statistical approach)

For detailed results, see :

- V. Aletti, M. Velli, K. Bocchialini, G. Einaudi, M. Georgoulis, J.-C. Vial, ApJ 544, 550 (2000)
- E. Buchlin, V. Aletti, S. Galtier, M. Velli, G. Einaudi, J.-C. Vial, A&A, 406, 1061 (2003)



Model results (1)

Power-law PDFs of event energy, PDF of event duration, and correlations between *e.g.* energy and duration











Clues for possible observational biases



Spatial :





Observation duration :



Model results (3)

SOC-like "universality" of PDF slopes (here : as a function of model loading spectrum index)





• • •

۲

Data analysis



 \implies search for observational signatures of turbulence and intermittency in observational data ?

Requirements for the data :

- of course, good quality
- large amount of data for each observation
- large number of observations



SUMER Full Sun data

Observations by Philippe Lemaire, april-october 1996.

- 8 rasters per image
- 36 images
- "Moments" computed onboard :
 - 0 : Intensity (max) S VI 933
 - 1 : Doppler shift S VI 933
 - 2 : Width S VI 933
 - 3 : Intensity Ly ϵ
 - 4 : Intensity S VI 944

 Some reference spectra (whole detector)







Full Sun reconstructed images



S VI 933 I







Histogram of pixel S VI 933 intensity





Histograms of events

Like in Aletti et al. 2000 (EIT) : one "event" = a connex part of the image above a threshold. S VI 933 intensity S





VI 933 velocity



-2.84 +/- 0.10



p.17

Structure functions and exponents

For intensity :







Other data that can be used

- CDS (MEDOC campaign, May 2002), but need of more observations for good statistics
- RHESSI, but small flares can only be visible when no large flares (Image reconstruction artefacts)





• • • •

But what is an event?



Some definitions used

Candidates for a definition :

- peak in energy dissipation time series (from a local minimum to the next one)
- connex part of image or time series above a threshold
- local maximum in a time series' timefrequency plane (wavelets)

Non-exhaustive! ... open to suggestions









Test on shell-model time series data

- 1D model with reduced number of modes : concentric shells in Fourier space, separated by a factor 2 of wavenumber. Inspired from Giuliani et al. 1998.
- Local interactions between shells (up to the 2nd-neighbors), modelling the non-linear terms of MHD, with strict conservation of energy, helicity and magnetic helicity.



 \implies Energy dissipation time series



Results

Distributions, for peak and threshold definitions :







Results

For wavelets definition :





Discussion

- The choice of definition has an influence on statistics. No straight-forward choice of definition
- Structure functions act directly on fields, do not depend on event definition
- Also looking for other statistics (open to suggestions !), *i.e.* more clues
- Need more tests to constraint models and to compare them to observations : line emission, particle acceleration statistics...



Discussion

- The choice of definition has an influence on statistics. No straight-forward choice of definition
- Structure functions act directly on fields, do not depend on event definition
- Also looking for other statistics (open to suggestions !), *i.e.* more clues
- Need more tests to constraint models and to compare them to observations : line emission, particle acceleration statistics...



