

The Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics at Peking University 北京大学科维理天文与天体物理研究所



# Angular correlation studies of the cosmic X-ray background: A new frontier of LSS studies with X-ray surveys

Author Alexander Kolodzig (Fellow at KIAA)

Collaborators Marat Gilfanov (MPA,IKI), Gert Hütsi (MPA), and Rashid Sunyaev (MPA,IKI)

Acknowledgement Mirko Krumpe, Mike Anderson, Kari Helgason, Nico Cappelluti, Günther Hasinger, & Nicolas Clerc

Publication Submitting in 06/2016

Meeting Hot spots in the XMM sky, Conference, Mykonos Island, Greece, 15.06.2016

# Cosmic X-ray background (CXB)



ROSAT PSPC All-Sky: Soft X-ray Background (Trümper 1990, Freyberg+1999)

17.06.2016

### The resolved CXB – state of the art

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ~75% of CXB emission is due to point sources with fluxes of
  ~10<sup>-17</sup> erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup> (0.5-2.0 keV)
  (based on 4Msec Chandra-Deep-Field-South (CDFS), Xue+2011)
- Seperated into source types:
  - ~71% Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)
  - ~3% Normal Galaxies
  - ~1% Stars

→ Resolved CXB shows the formation and accretion history of SMBHs over cosmic time

#### The unresolved CXB

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

~75% associated with point sources (S> ~10^{-17} erg/s/cm^2)

 ${\sim}70\%$  associated with AGN

<sup>3%</sup> Normal Galaxies

![](_page_3_Figure_5.jpeg)

~78% resolved (S>  $\sim$ 4x10<sup>-17</sup> erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup>) (point and extended sources) ~22% unresolved

**Hickox+2007**: ~1.0x10<sup>-12</sup> erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup>/deg<sup>2</sup> in 1.0-2.0 keV ~22% CXB emission

~50% unassociated

~50% associated with optical/IR point sources

→ ~10% of CXB remain unassociated (based on 0.02 deg<sup>2</sup> → cosmic variance)

# Our CXB study

# Our Data: 9 deg<sup>2</sup> XBOOTES survey

- Advantages for angular correlation studies:
  - largest Chandra survey
    - most accurate measurement of CXB fluctuations to date
    - previous studies : ~0.1 deg<sup>2</sup> (e.g. Helgason+2014)
  - Chandra's angular resolution: highest in X-rays
    - measure angular scales down to arcsec-regime!
    - $\rightarrow$  unique access to small-scale clustering regime (< 1 Mpc)
  - Chandra Instrumental Background:
    - low, stable, well understood (e.g. Hickox & Markevitch 2006)
- Properties:
  - 126 contiguous observations (use 118)
  - Unresolved emission:
    - Total Surface Area: ~7  $deg^2$  (82%)
    - Ave. Exposure Time per Obs.: ~4.3 ksec
    - Total Exposure Time: ~0.5 Msec
    - Point-Source sensitivity:  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (0.5-2.0 keV)

![](_page_5_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_18.jpeg)

# Energy Spectrum of unresolved emission (0.5 - 2.0 keV)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

# Total extragalactic emission: consistent with previous studies (1.0 - 2.0 keV)

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Unresolved extragalactic emission: Components (0.5 - 2.0 keV)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 10^{-20} & 10^{-18} & 10^{-16} \\ \text{Lower limit for log(N)-log(S) integration} \\ \text{of X-ray point sources [erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}] \end{array}$ 

Angular correlation studies of the unresolved CXB

### **Computing Power Spectrum:**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

CXB fluctuations for  $< 17^{\circ}$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

### CXB fluctuations for $< 17^{\circ}$

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

CXB fluctuations for  $< 17^{\circ}$ 

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

# CXB fluctuations for $< 17^{\circ}$

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

## CXB fluctuations for <17': first full describtion

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

# Unresolved AGN population of XBOOTES

- Properties:
  - Contribution to unresolved CXB: ~30%
  - Redshift: Median z ~ 1.0 (67%: 0.4 < z < 2.3)
  - Luminosity: Median  $L \sim 10^{42.6} \text{ erg/s}$  (67%:  $10^{41.0} < L < 10^{43.6} \text{ erg/s}$ )
- Model uncertainties:
  - Flux-Conversion: < ~30%</p>
  - $Log(N)-Log(S): < \sim 15\%$  (for shot-noise term)
  - Clustering model:
    - $\theta < 2$ ' for z<1 corresponds to < 1.3 Mpc/h  $\rightarrow$  1-halo
    - Theoretical prediction & our assumption: 1 AGN per DMH
    - Possibility: some fraction of DMH with more than 1 AGN
    - ightarrow higher accuracy and better modeling needed

Krumpe+2014

2-halo

Galaxy clusters cause the excess for >2'?!

## CXB fluctuations for <17': first full describtion

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

## CXB fluctuations for <17': first full describtion

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

# Assessment of systematics

- Fluctuations of instrumental background → negligible
- Tested efficiency of removing resolved point-sources:
  - Residual counts: ~1% of unresolved CXB  $\rightarrow$  negligible
- Tested mask effects (but not corrected)  $\rightarrow$  not important (for now)
- Tested different photon-shot-noise estimators → results are robust
- Tested vignetting effects  $\rightarrow$  not important (at given S/N)
- Tested deflaring  $\rightarrow$  not important (at given S/N)

# **Extragalactic Origin**

• Studies with smaller surveys:

EGS (~0.1 deg<sup>2</sup>): Helgason+2014

COSMOS (~2 deg<sup>2</sup>): Li,Hasinger+2016, in prep.

→ Universal feature on the sky ?!

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

Detect in 1-2keV energy band → Extragalactic origin ?!

# Unresolved galaxy cluster population

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Redshift: Median: z~0.6 67%: 0.2 < z < 1.3

Luminosity: Median: L ~ 10<sup>42.7</sup> erg/s 67%: 10<sup>41.4</sup> < L < 10<sup>43.8</sup> erg/s

**Based on XLF of Ebeling +1997** (rescaled to XBOOTES. agrees with with Rosati+2002, Finoguenov+2007,+2010,+2015)

#### **Excess: Spectral shape**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

17.06.2016

### Excess: Spectral shape agrees with galaxy clusters

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Does the excess depend on extended source sensitivity limit? **YES!**

#### A) Resolved extended sources removed

#### B) Resolved extended sources retained

(as shown before)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

Three selections of XBOOTES fields:118 fields (default) - some contain resolved extended sources26 fields- all contain resolved extended sources76 fields (control)- none contains a resolved extended source

# Excess can be explained by galaxy clusters down to $\sim 30$ "

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Clustering Model of galaxy clusters**

- Uncertainties for Model amplitude:
  - Flux-Conversion: < ~15%</p>
  - Surface brightness estimate:
    - Log(N)-Log(S) observationally quite uncertain for unresolved part
    - Amplitude increases by factor of ~2, if XLF slope changes by +10% (+2σ)
  - Clustering properties of ICM (one-halo-term):
    - Cheng+2004: Assumes hydrostatic equilibrium of ICM
    - Amplitude can be increased by any non-gravitational effect
    - Observationally and theoretical uncertainties for these effects
    - $\rightarrow$  Our measurement can be utilized to test models with different effects implemented
- Potential:
  - Shape of model defined by spatial structure of ICM (convolved with redshift distribution of galaxy clusters)
  - $\rightarrow$  Measure average ICM profile beyond R<sub>500</sub> for all nearby galaxy clusters (z<~0.1)

# Summary

## CXB fluctuations for <17': first full describtion

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.

# **Lessons learned**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Chandra survey of ~5ksec and >9deg<sup>2</sup> can measure CXB fluctuations below
  <17' to <~30% accuracy</li>
  - Important systematics understood (instrumental background negligible)
- Origin of CXB fluctuations below  $\sim 17$ ':
  - Angular scales below ~2': AGN shot noise
    - No need of AGN one-halo-term (at given accuracy)
      - → consistent with theory (e.g. Fanidakis+2013, Leauthaud+2015)
  - Angular scales above ~2': Galaxy clusters (one-halo-term)
    - Discrepancy by factor ~2 between theory and observation
    - ightarrow New observational constraints, needs to be utilized
    - Potential: Measure average ICM profile beyond  $R_{500}$  for all nearby galaxy clusters (z<~0.1)
- Future surveys:
  - Larger surveys (>9deg<sup>2</sup>) :
    - ightarrow Larger angular scales -> study more massive nearby galaxy clusters
    - $\rightarrow$  higher accuracy  $\rightarrow$  better constraints (also on AGN one-halo-term)
  - − Deeper surveys (>5ksec): → study galaxy clusters at higher redshift

#### eROSITA forecast (~50 times better S/N than XBOOTES)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

LSS studies with the unresolved CXB - Kolodzig et al.