### Hot Spots in The XMM Sky 2016

## Scaling Relations of High-Z Simulated Galaxy Clusters

*Nhut Truong University of Rome "Tor Vergata"* 



In collaboration with: E. Rasia, P. Mazzotta, A. M. Beck, V. Biffi, S. Borgani, K. Dolag, D. Fabjan, S. Planelles, G. Murante, G. L. Granato, G. Murante, C. Ragone-Figueroa, L. Steinborn.

# Introduction

• High-z cluster samples are expected in near future with: eROSITA (2017), EUCLID (2020), ATHENA (2028).

—> allowing to probe matter growth + Cosmic accelerating.

Challenge:

• Cluster mass can not be directly observed for every single cluster.

—> Need scaling relations (SRs) to relate mass to mass proxies.

<u>Goal</u>: study simulated scaling relations between cluster mass and X-ray proxies: M-Mg, M-T, M-Yx, L-M, L-T.

- Comparing simulations to local observations of clusters.
- Investigating evolution of scaling relations (SRs) up to z=2.

(Truong et al. to be submitted)

# **DIANOGA** Simulation

numerical groups of Trieste and Munich

- Re-simulations of 29 regions from N-body simulation of 1 (Gpc/h)^3 (performed with Gadget 3, Springel 2005).
- Three ICM models: NR (Non-Radiative), CSF (Radiative Cooling + Star Formation + Supernovae Feedbacks), AGN (CSF+AGN Feedbacks).
- Advanced features: improved hydrodynamical scheme (Beck+2016), better AGN implementation (Steinborn+15)
- Promising results on ICM properties: producing coexistence of cool-core and non cool-core simulated clusters (*Rasia+15*).

Simulation vs Observations

### **Comparison to Observations**



Observed mass is obtained from lensing analysis (Mahdavi+13, Lieu+15, Kettula+13).

AGN run reproduces well local observed scaling relations.

### **Comparison to Observations**



 The agreement is also present at z=0.5.

# Scaling Relations Evolution

# Data & Model

#### Data:

• Samples selected at 8 redshifts:

z= 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.6, 1, 1.5, 2.

- Mass limit:  $M_500 > 7.e13/E(z)$ .  $E(z) \equiv H(z)/H_0$
- Model: single power law

$$F_{\Delta} = C \times E(z)^{\gamma} \times \left(\frac{X_{\Delta}}{X_0}\right)^{\beta}$$

• **Fitting Method:** Bayesian linear regression (Kelly 2007).





Slope Evolution  $|_{F=C \times E(z)^{\gamma} \times \left(\frac{X}{X_{0}}\right)}$ 



### Slope Evolution: gas mass

M-Mgas



 AGN run shows Mgas slope reduced by ~14% at z=2.
> AGN effect on lowmass systems at high-z.

### Slope Evolution: temperature



Temperature slope also drop at high-z in all the 3 runs.

-

### Mass-Temperature relation at high-z



— Most high-z clusters (T<3 keV) are at lower degree of thermalization.

— 3 most massive clusters undergone major mergers.

### Mass-Temperature relation at high-z

Cross-check with MUSIC Sample (Sembolini +14)



### Slope Evolution: Yx and luminosity



 Evolution of derived SRs can be explained, qualitatively, in terms of the two fundamental relations: M-Mgas and M-T. (See also Maughan +14)

### Slope Variation Impact on Normalisation Evolution





 When slope evolves, normalisation evolution can not be defined for a single power law.

# Conclusion

- Our AGN simulation reproduces well various scaling relations from low and intermediate redshifts.
- By comparison SRs at low- and high-z one can learn about the effect of AGN feedbacks.
- Scaling Relations can be described by a power law with a single slope safely up to z=1.