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• Introduction: The r-process riddle

• Supernovae as candidate sites of r-processing

• Neutron star mergers as likely sites of r-process production
s- and r-Process Nucleosynthesis

![Graph showing the s- and r-process nucleosynthesis with a inset of r-process abundances and a main plot depicting the nucleosynthesis path.](image-url)
s- and r-Process Nucleosynthesis

Rapid neutron-capture process (r-process) is responsible for production of ~50% of n-rich nuclei heavier than iron.

Astrophysical site(s) of r-process are still unknown;
One of greatest mysteries of nuclear astrophysics.

n-capture timescale $\ll$ $\beta$-decay timescale
$\rightarrow$ high neutron densities required
$\rightarrow$ explosive event(s)
Elemental r-process abundances in ultra metal-poor stars compared to solar distribution

Uniform pattern for $56 < Z < 83$

Larger scatter for $Z < 50$
Metallicity Evolution of R-Element Enrichment

Fe and Mg produced in same site: core-collapse supernovae

Significant [Eu/Fe] scatter at low metallicities [Fe/H]

R-process production is rare in early galaxy

Mg and Fe production is not tightly coupled to r-process production

Sneden, Cowan, Gallino 2008
r-process Sources: Basic Questions

- Physical conditions of the ejecta ➔
  Source of “weak” or “strong” r-process?
  Can solar r-abundances be produced “robustly”?

- Ejecta mass and frequency of source ➔
  Main source or sub-dominant contributor?

- Element enrichment history of Galaxy ➔
  Can one astrophysical source explain all observations?
Explosive Origins of Heavy Elements

Supernova 1054

Supernova ~1680

Neutron Star Merger
Supernovae as Potential Site of \textit{r}-Process Element Production
R-Process Scenarios in Supernovae

- **Dynamical ejecta of prompt explosions (of O-Ne-Mg cores)** (Hillebrandt, Takahashi & Kodama 1976; Wheeler, Cowan & Hillebrandt 1998; Wanajo 2002)
- **He-shell exposed to intense neutrino flux** (Epstein, Colgate, & Haxton 1988; Banerjee et al. 2011)
- **Neutrino-driven wind from proto-neutron stars** (Woosley et al. 1994)
- **C+O layer of O-Ne-Mg-core (“electron-capture”) supernovae** (Ning, Qian & Meyer 2007)
- **Magnetohydrodynamic jets of rare core-collapse supernovae** (Winteler et al. 2013, Nishimura et al.)
- **Ejection of fallback material** (Fryer et al. 2006)

- Some more...?
Neutrino-Driven Wind from Proto-Neutron Stars
Neutrino-Driven Wind from Proto-Neutron Stars


Nucleosynthesis in Neutrino-Heated Ejecta

Crucial parameters for nucleosynthesis in neutrino-driven outflows:

* Electron-to-baryon ratio $Y_e$ ( <---> neutron excess)
* Entropy ( <---- ratio of $(\text{temperature})^3$ to density)
* Expansion timescale

Determined by the interaction of stellar gas with neutrinos from nascent neutron star:

\[
\nu_e + n \rightarrow e^- + p \quad \bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n
\]

\[
Y_e \sim \left[ 1 + \frac{L_{\bar{\nu}_e}(\epsilon_{\nu_e} - 2\Delta)}{L_{\nu_e}(\epsilon_{\nu_e} + 2\Delta)} \right]^{-1}
\]

with $\epsilon_\nu = \frac{\langle \epsilon_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_{\nu_e} \rangle}$ and $\Delta = (m_n - m_p)c^2 \approx 1.29 \text{ MeV}$.

If $L_{\bar{\nu}_e} \approx L_{\nu_e}$, one needs for $Y_e < 0.5$ (i.e. neutron excess):

\[
\epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e} - \epsilon_{\nu_e} > 4\Delta.
\]
Requirements for strong $r$-Process Including Third Abundance Peak

Fig. 10.—The combinations of $Y_e$, entropy, and expansion time required for the production of the $A \sim 195$ $r$-process peak nuclei. Circles connected by lines are for various fixed expansion times. Shown are the values derived in the numerical study using equation (7) (filled circles) and those from the analytic approximation (eqs. [20a] and [20b], open circles). The filled squares represent results from the numerical survey that used an exact adiabatic equation of state.
Nucleosynthesis in O-Ne-Mg Core Winds

- Neutrino-driven wind remains p-rich for $>10$ seconds!
- No r-process in the late neutrino-driven wind!
- Holds also for more massive progenitos (Fischer et al. 2009)

Hüdepohl (Diploma Thesis 2009); Hüdepohl et al. (PRL 104 (2010); arXiv:0912:0260)

No favorable conditions for a strong r-process in ONeMg-core explosions and neutrino-driven winds of PNSs!
CRAB Nebula with pulsar, remnant of Supernova 1054

**Explosion properties:**

\[ E_{\text{exp}} \sim 10^{50} \text{ erg} = 0.1 \text{ bethe} \]
\[ M_{\text{Ni}} \sim 0.003 \text{ } M_{\odot} \]

Low explosion energy and ejecta composition (little Ni, C, O) of ONeMg core explosion are compatible with CRAB (SN1054)


Might also explain other low-luminosity supernovae (e.g. SN1997D, 2008S, 2008HA)
2D SN Simulations: $M_{\text{star}} \sim 8...10 M_{\text{sun}}$

Convection leads to slight increase of explosion energy, causes explosion asymmetries, and ejects n-rich matter!

$\text{Entropy}$

$\text{Y}_e$

$t = 0.262 \text{ s after core bounce}$
Convectively ejected n-rich matter makes ONeMg-core and low-mass Fe-core supernovae an interesting source of nuclei between the iron group and N = 50 (from Zn to Zr), possibly also of weak r-process nuclei.

Nucleosynthesis in O-Ne-Mg Core SNe

Convectively ejected n-rich matter makes ONeMg-core supernovae an interesting source of nuclei between iron group and $N = 50$ (from Zn to Zr).

Models in very good agreement with Ge, Sr, Y, Zr abundances observed in r-process deficient Galactic halo stars.

If tiny amounts of matter with $Y_e$ down to 0.30–0.35 were also ejected, a weak r-process may yield elements up to Pd, Ag, and Cd.
Ejecta Conditions in O-Ne-Mg Core SNe

$Y_e > 0.39$
Ejecta Conditions in O-Ne-Mg Core SNe

Nucleon self-energy shifts ("nucleon potentials") reduce $Y_e$

Ye > 0.34!

n-rich matter
Ejecta Conditions in O-Ne-Mg Core SNe

Nucleon self-energy shifts ("nucleon potentials") reduce $Y_e$

$n$-rich matter

$Y_e > 0.35!$
Ejecta Conditions in O-Ne-Mg Core SNe

Nucleon self-energy shifts ("nucleon potentials") reduce $Y_e$

$n$-rich matter $Y_e > 0.37$!
Compact Binary Mergers as Origin of r-Process Elements
NS+NS/BH Mergers

Ruffert et al.
Rosswog et al.
Oechslin et al.
Shibata et al.
Rezzolla et al.
Rasio et al.
Lehner et al.
Foucart et al.

etc.
Extreme Magnetic Field Amplification

Evolution Paths of NS+NS/BH Mergers

NS+NS

NS+NS different. rot.

HMNS

SMNS

BH+torus

BH

stable NS

Observable signals:
Gravitational waves, neutrinos, gamma-ray bursts, mass ejection, r-process elements, electromag. transients
Neutron Star Mergers as Production Sites of Ejecta & Heavy Elements

Compact binary mergers

- are likely sources of short gamma-ray bursts (Paczynski, Jaroszynski, etc.)
- are among strongest sources of gravitational waves
- are potential production sites of r-process nuclei (Lattimer & Schramm 1974, 1976; Lattimer et al. 1977; Meyer 1989)

and radio flares (Piran & Nakar 2011)

Mass Loss Phases During NS–NS and NS–BH Merging

Merger Phase: Prompt/dynamical ejecta (due to dynamic binary interaction)

BH–Torus Phase: Disk ejecta (due to heating, viscosity/magn. fields, recombination)

(Ruffert & Janka 1999; Just et al., MNRAS 448 (2015) 541)
Dynamical Mass Ejection in NS-NS Mergers

Asymmetric NS-NS merger
Properties of Dynamical Merger Ejecta

Still unclear influence of neutrinos on ejecta $Y_e$

Can depend on NS compactness and therefore EOS
Nucleosynthesis in Dynamical Merger Ejecta

During r-processing fission recycling takes place and produces roughly solar abundances for $A > 130$.

Per merger event $10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \, M_{\text{Sun}}$ are ejected.

With rate of $10^{-5}$ events per year and galaxy, NS mergers could be the main source of heavy r-process material.
• Robust r-process with solar abundance above A \sim 130
• Insensitive to high-density equation of state? Caveat: neutrinos??
• Radioactive decays power optical transient

R-process Nucleosynthesis
for 1.35-1.35 binaries (most abundant in binary population)
Neutrinos

Sekiguchi et al., PRL 107, 051102 (2011)
Nucleosynthesis in Neutrino-Heated Ejecta

Crucial parameters for nucleosynthesis in neutrino-irradiated outflows:

* Electron-to-baryon ratio $Y_e$ (----> neutron excess)
* Entropy (----> ratio of (temperature)$^3$ to density)
* Expansion timescale

Determined by the interaction of stellar gas with neutrinos from radiating merger remnant:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_e + n & \rightarrow e^- + p \\
\bar{\nu}_e + p & \rightarrow e^+ + n
\end{align*}
\]

\[
Y_e \sim \left[1 + \frac{L_{\bar{\nu}_e}(\epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e} - 2\Delta)}{L_{\nu_e}(\epsilon_{\nu_e} + 2\Delta)}\right]^{-1}
\]

with $\epsilon_\nu = \frac{\langle \epsilon_\nu^2 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_\nu \rangle}$ and $\Delta = (m_n - m_p)c^2 \approx 1.29 \text{ MeV}$.

If $L_{\bar{\nu}_e} \approx L_{\nu_e}$, one needs for $Y_e < 0.5$ (i.e. neutron excess):

\[
\epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e} - \epsilon_{\nu_e} > 4\Delta.
\]
Nucleosynthesis in Neutrino-Processed Merger Ejecta


- Compact NSs produce strongly shock-heated ejecta.
- Electron fraction increases considerably in hot ejecta, mostly due to positron capture.
- Heavy r-process is still produced, but also A < 130 nuclei.

see also M. Shibata's talk of yesterday
Nucleosynthesis in Neutrino-Processed Merger Ejecta

(Goriely et al., arXiv:1504.04377)
Nucleosynthesis in Neutrino-Processed Merger Ejecta

Mass of r-material varies between some percent and ~70%
Mass of r-Process vs. $\alpha$-particles vs. Fe-group

- Depends on EoS (cf. Sekiguchi et al. 2015)

- Depends also on viewing direction, merger system and system parameters, phase of mass ejection

- Potentially depends on neutrino flavor oscillations (cf. Malkus et al. 2012, Caballero et al. 2012)
BH-Torus Outflows

- Hydrodynamical 2D models of BH-torus evolution.
  (Just, PhD Thesis 2012)

- New Newtonian MHD-code with 2D, energy-dependent neutrino transport based on two-moment closure scheme.
  (Obergaulinger, PhD Thesis 2008)

- BH treated by Artemova-Novikov potential.

- Displayed model based on Shakura-Sunyaev $\alpha$-viscosity

- MHD yields turbulent tori!

  Just et al., MNRAS 448 (2015) 541

$M_{\text{BH}} = 3 M_\odot$, $A_{\text{BH}} = 0.8$, $M_\text{tous} = 0.3 M_\odot$, with Neutrinos

Magnetohydrodynamic simulation

(Just, PhD Thesis 2012)
R-process Nucleosynthesis

for NS+NS merger + BH-torus remnant

Mass fraction vs. A

Electromagnetic Transients: Light Curve

Shen EoS;
Light curves from one-zone ejecta modell

Estimates:

\[ L_{\text{bolo}} \sim 7.5 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg/s} \quad \kappa^{-1/2} \ (v/0.1c)^{1/2} \ (M_{\text{ejecta}}/10^{-2}M_{\text{sun}})^{1/2} \]

\[ t_{\text{peak}} \sim 0.5 \text{ d} \quad \kappa^{1/2} \ (v/0.1c)^{-1/2} \ (M_{\text{ejecta}}/10^{-2}M_{\text{sun}})^{1/2} \]

\[ T_{\text{eff}} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{4} \text{ K} \quad \kappa^{-3/8} \ (v/0.1c)^{-1/8} \ (M_{\text{ejecta}}/10^{-2}M_{\text{sun}})^{-1/8} \]

see also following talk by B. Metzger
Infrared Transient of GRB 130603B and NS EOS Implications


Soft EOS with small NS radius needed for NS+NS merger

Stiff EOS with large NS radius needed for NS+BH merger

Constraints on NS-BH Merger Rate by R-Process Production

### Table 1: Ejecta Masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a_{\text{BH}} \setminus M_{\text{BH}}$</th>
<th>5 $M_\odot$</th>
<th>7 $M_\odot$</th>
<th>10 $M_\odot$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0.0004 M_\odot$</td>
<td>$\lesssim 2 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot$</td>
<td>$\lesssim 2 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.042 $M_\odot$</td>
<td>0.0090 $M_\odot$</td>
<td>0.0018 $M_\odot$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.067 $M_\odot$</td>
<td>0.070 $M_\odot$</td>
<td>0.073 $M_\odot$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.096 $M_\odot$</td>
<td>0.087 $M_\odot$</td>
<td>0.086 $M_\odot$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** — NS-BH mergers with initial BH mass $M_{\text{BH}}$, initial BH spin $a_{\text{BH}}$, NS mass 1.35 $M_\odot$, and DD2 EoS.


see also talk by R. Ardevol-Pulpillo
Summary and Conclusions

- Strong r-processing hard to achieve at supernova conditions.
- O-Ne-Mg core explosions are favorable sites for weak r-process.
- NS+NS/BH mergers are likely sites for strong r-process.
- Mass of NS+NS/BH merger ejecta sensitively depends on nuclear equation of state and BH spin.
- Properties of electromagnetic transients of compact object mergers are strongly and systematically affected by elemental composition of ejecta (cf. GRB130603B)
- Nucleosynthesis insensitive/weakly sensitive to EoS, but for NS-NS mergers depends on neutrino emission (and absorption)  → relevance for ejecta opacity!
- Chemogalactic implications require careful studies with detailed hydrodynamical models of Galaxy evolution
R-process Sources

• Having identified one source does not exclude existence of other sources.
The ISM Mixing Problem

Fig. 4. Evolution of [Eu/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] abundances as a function of metallicity [Fe/H]. NSM with a rate of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ yr$^{-1}$, a coalescence timescale of $10^6$ yr and $10^{-3} M_\odot$ of ejected r-process matter are assumed to be the dominating r-process sources.

Black dots denote model stars, observations are marked by filled squares and diamonds (see text). Average ISM abundances are marked by a continuous line. Filled circles with error bars denote average abundances of model stars and their standard deviation in [Fe/H] bins with binsize 0.1 dex.

(see also Wehmeyer et al., arXiv:1501.07749)
Jet-Supernova Models as r-Process Sites?

- MHD-driven polar “jets” could sweep out n-rich matter.
- Requires extremely fast matter ejection and therefore extremely rapid rotation and extremely strong magnetic fields in pre-collapse stellar cores.
- Would be very rare event; maybe 1 of 1000 stellar core collapses?

The ISM Mixing Problem
**Chemogalactic Enrichment History**

Each SN (preceding a NS merger) mixes into $5 \times 10^4 \, M_{\odot}$ of ISM and thus produces $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim 10^{-3} \ldots 10^{-2}$

---


SN events pollute the neighbouring ISM with their nucleosynthesis products and sweep up the material in a spherical, chemically well mixed shell. Here, it is assumed that each SN pollutes $\approx 5 \times 10^4 \, M_{\odot}$ of ISM (Ryan et al. 1996; Shigeyama & Tsujimoto 1998). Stars which form out of material enriched by a single SN II show an element abundance pattern that is characteristic of the yields for the particular progenitor of this SN II. This will lead to a large scatter in element abundance ratios ([el/Fe]) as long as local inhomogeneities caused by SN II events dominate the halo ISM. As time progresses, supernova remnants overlap and the abundance pattern in each cell approaches the average defined by SN II yield patterns for different progenitor masses and the IMF. This leads to a decrease of the scatter in element abundance ratios at later times.
But: Highly idealized picture of Galactic chemical enrichment dynamics and history
What can large-scale flows in the Galaxy and NS kick velocities do?
Dwarf spheriodals and sub-halos mergers with Galaxy can change relation between time and metallicity; reduce SN metal enrichment preceding first NS mergers to $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim 10^{-4}.....10^{-3}$

(Prantzos 2006; Ishimaru et al. 2015, Vangioni et al. 2015; van de Voort 2015)
Fig. 5. Cosmic evolution of Eu: comparison between the two possible astrophysical sites (1) Eu/H and [Eu/Fe] vs [Fe/H]. Evolution of Eu/H and [Eu/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] either in the CCSN (blue lines) or in the NSM (black lines) scenario. The evolution is computed for the three SFR modes considered in the present study, SFR1 (solid lines), SFR2 (dotted lines), SFR3 (dashed lines). In the CCSN scenario, the Eu yield is $10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ per supernova. In the NSM scenario, the Eu yield is $7 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ per merger, the fraction of binary compact objects is $\alpha = 0.002$ and the coalescence timescale is $\Delta t_{\text{NSM}} = 0.2$ Gyr. Data points come from different references: black points and upper limits at low metallicity from François et al. (2007), brown points at higher metallicity from Simmerer et al. (2004), yellow from Barklem et al. (2005), magenta from Ren et al. (2012), red from Roederer et al. (2010, 2014a,b), heavy blue points from Roederer et al. (2014c). The bulk of black points at high metallicity come from dwarf spheroidal systems (Shetrone, Côté & Stetson, 2001; Shetrone et al., 2003; Geisler et al., 2005; Cohen and Huang, 2009; Letarte et al., 2010; Starkenburg et al., 2013; McWilliam et al., 2013).

Vangioni et al., arXiv:1501.01115
**Supernovae**

✓ Exist, frequent, known rate, onset early in galaxy
✗ Small r-mass mass/event
✓ Chemogalactic role well understood
✗ Inner ejecta exposed to intense neutrino fluxes, increase Ye
✗ Ejecta conditions highly variable, neutrino-driven wind sensitive to Mns, Rns, neutrino properties, strong B-fields, wave-heating, sterile neutrinos,....
✗ Speculative scenarios: O-Ne-Mg core surface He shell (secondary process) MHD jets SN fallback-ejection

**Mergers**

✗ Exist, rare, rate uncertain
✓ Ejecta more mass/event
✗ Chemogalactic role not well studied
✓ Prompt mass ejection very fast: neutrinos do not harm much
✓ "Robust" r-process, outcome independent of numerics/group
✓ Scenario can be directly confirmed by observations (macro-nova)
✓ Sufficient variability for "outliers" and event scatter